

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/050,818		01/18/2002	Man-Yin Lo	MR1035-986	MR1035-986 6933	
4586	7590	11/20/2003	·	EXAMINER		
		EIN & LEE	WRIGHT, WILLIAM G			
3458 ELLICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUIT ELLICOTT CITY, MD 21043		3 101	ART, UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DDDIOOTT	0111,	210.0		1754		

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

موره ۲۰			<b>A</b>	W				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>"</u>				
		10/050,818	LO ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		William G. Wright SR.	1754	·				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. I.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tte, cause the application to become ABAND	oe timely filed ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communic ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	<u> 3 August 2003</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ 1	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
•	ion of Claims Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application	n						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4-8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · ·	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc							
445	Applicant may not request that any objection to							
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		proved by the Examiner.					
12)[]	If approved, corrected drawings are required in the oath or declaration is objected to by the E							
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	-Adminior.						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35 U.S.C. & 11	9(a)-(d) or (f)					
•	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	gii piioniy amaei ee ererei 3	(4) (4) (1)					
۵,	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		cation No					
* 5	Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a list	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		!				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	·		cation).				
a	The translation of the foreign language p Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	rovisional application has been	received.					
Attachmen	•	, ,						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Serial No. 10/050,818

Art Unit 1754

Applicant's election of Group I in Paper No. 3 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

It is further noted that the applicants have amended claims 1-3 to be directed to the method of preparing the hydrogenation catalyst.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly

Serial No. 10/050,818

Art Unit 1754

owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. § 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foohey et al. '398 in view of Itoh et al. '968.

Foohey teaches the production of dimethyl 1,4cyclohexanedicarboxylate by the catalytic process of
hydrogenation. These teachings are found at column 1 line 1 et
seq., and at column 6 where the claims are found at line 39 et
seq. Claim 2 of column 6 line 54 specifically teaches ruthenium
supported on an inert substrate. The passivating step is taught
functionally at column 4 line 28 et seq. where ruthenium oxide is
reduced to the desired level of activity.

Foohey fails to teach the specific use of alumina and the process parameters of the catalyst manufacturing claims. The teaching specifically of the use of a triple neck bottle under vacuum conditions, heating, cooling and drying are all not found in the references.

Itoh teaches the production of the instant ester in embodiment 1 of column 6 at line 40 et seq. Embodiment 4 of column 21 line 22 et seq. teaches a ruthenium catalyst for the instant purpose. The specific teaching of an alumina support

Serial No. 10/050,818

Art Unit 1754

being preferred is found at column 23 line 56 and at column 25 line 50.

Both references use supported ruthenium catalysts to make the same product. The inert support of Foohey is easily provided for by the alumina taught by Itoh at the areas referenced above. It would be obvious to use the alumina support of the supporting reference Itoh in claim 2 of the primary reference Foohey. It would go without saying that a skilled practitioner in the method of catalytic production would know to use the obvious steps of mixing, heating, cooling, evaporation, precipitation and drying to produce a catalyst of the desired properties. These process parameters would have to be shown to produce an unexpected result to distinguish over the known prior art procedures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William G. Wright, Sr. whose telephone number is (703) 305-7792. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on (703) 308-3837. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are

Serial No. 10/050,818

Art Unit 1754

(703) 872-9306 for the regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for after final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1495.

WGW

W. G. Wright, Sr.:cdc

November 12, 2003

STEVEN BOS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1100